Attachment C

DECLARATION OF MARK MATTAS

I, Mark Matina, have personal knowledge of the facts stated a this declaration and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto under oath. I declare as follows:

1. I am over 18 years of age, and I reside in San Jose, California.

1

2

3

6

7

9

11

12

13

16

17

18

20

21

23

25

- 2. I was employed as an Area Manager, at Enterprise Renal Car of San Francisco, for 11 years, from 1994 until December 2004. As an Area Manager, I was in charge of the general operations of seven Buterprise offices (including Capitola), located in northern California, refused to as Region W.
- 3. As an Area Manager, my duties included: visiting each finterprise office daily; reviewing daily reports to ensure that inventory was accurate; reviewing allting records; and ensuring that all aspects of the business operations were running properly.
- 4. I am familiar with the facts regarding this matter; specifically, that Racchel Houck rented a recalled PT Cruiser from Enterprise's Capitola, California bunch, on October 4, 2004, and was subsequently involved in a fatal vehicle accident, involving the PT Cruiser, along with her sister, Jacqueline Houck, on October 7, 2004; however, at no time de tag my camployment, as an Area Manager, at Enterprise, prior to this accident, was I aware that the PT Cruiser was a recalled vehicle.
- 5. I was contacted by a logal representative, on behalf of interprise, regarding this matter, and was saked not to speak with sayone about this case. But it is important to me to tell the truth.
- 6. I have no specific knowledge of how the Enterprise of see, at the corporate level (comparate, regional, or group headquarters), may have failed a prevent the rental of the recalled PT Craiser to Ms. Housk on October 4, 2004; however, at the stanch level, managers and campleyoes intentionally rented recalled vehicles to the public.
- 7. I know that Enterprise Branch Managera, within Region W, authorized the rental of

Declarant initials

Sera & Associates Investigations 2050 The Old Road, Suite 216 Riancia, California 91301 receiled vehicles, even with safety receils. Safety receils are referred to as priority receils. When the fleet was short, and there was demand for vehicles, tilese recalled vehicles would be rented to the public. As an Area Manager, I knew how operations were handled. When demand called, we renied out recalled vehicles. It happened; I won't lie. If the only vehicle left on the lot was a recalled vahicle, the branch would rent that vehicle to a customir. I am aware of instances where employees knowingly related recalled vehicles to quetomers without a manager's approval, when there was a sheltage of vehicles on the lot. To my knowledge, the managers and/or employees who knowingly rented recalled vehicles were never reprimended by Enterprise executives; however, I am not sure whether corporate headquarters had knowledge of these instances. I am familier with former Enterprise Branch Manager, Andrea Avecilla, who was comployed as a Brench Manager at Capitola's office location, in October 2004. Ms. Avecilla reported directly to me. She had trouble running rental operation, because she ran a tight operation; "tight," meaning that she would overbook rental one; and as a result, there would be a shortage of rental vehicles on the lot. I can guarantee that if any of those vehicles on the lot are recalled, at least one is going to be reated out. She also had trouble maintaining her accounts with deflerables, because of the constant shortes of vehicles on the lot. Additionally, I would notice that Ms. Avecilla's billing invoices did not always match up correctly, and she did not always profferly document her billing involocs. 13. Reterprise, at the corporate level, pressed the local officer to run a tight operation. Their philosophy was that, 'You've got to keep booking, because you don't know when you are going to get a cer back.' But then of course, you run short on vehicles, and if all you have are recalled vehicles on the lot, you rent them out. It was a given. The whole company did it. Enterprise's

Declarant initials:

1

2

3

5

7

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

1-2

hesa & Appointes Investigations 1880 the Old Road, Suite 216 Mancia, California 91381 1

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

21

22

23

25

corporate offices looked the other way regarding this fact.

- 2 14. Many times the recall warning attached to a vehicle's unit number on the computer
 3 screen, did not say safety recall or priority recall. Only when that vehicle was taken into the
 4 designing, and the dealership ran the VIN number, would specific information about the recall
 5 become evaluable.
 - 15. I also have knowledge of instances where Enterprise of ployees rented recalled vehicles, because they failed to pay attention to the recall warning attacked to the vehicle's unit number on the computer system, which registers when closing out a rental contract; however I cannot recall any specific examples at this time.
 - 16. When a recall warning does comes up on the screen that says priority recall, the respective employee is required to take the key of that vehicle and place that key in an area danignated for non-rentals; however, here is where the procedure is too loose, and mistakes can happen. An employee is only required to write the word "recall" on a post-it note, which is placed on the keys. There is nothing in place that keeps an employee from renting that car. The computer system doesn't lock up. There is nothing to prevent an employee from taking those looys, and renting that vehicle out to the next customer.
 - 17. Butterprise employees and management also had an opportunity to gain notice of a recall through other resources, such as daily reports, the unit history eport for each specific vehicle, and the maintenance report; however, these reports were print it at the Branch Manager's discretion. There was no specific policy requiring that these reports be printed out at specific times.

I declare under the penalty of purjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Brogged this 27th day of AUGUST 2008, in She Jobe California.

Marie Marias, Declarant

Declarant initials:

an

hesa & Associates Investigations 2630 The Old Road, Suite 216 Associa, California 91381

- 3